arXiv:0903.2977v2 [astro-ph.CO] 14 May 2009

Cosmological perturbations from vector inflation

Alexey Golovnev and Vitaly Vanchurin

Arnold-Sommerfeld-Center for Theoretical Physics, Department für Physik,
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 37, D-80333, Munich, Germany

We analyze the behavior of linear perturbations in vector inflation. In contrast to the scalar field inflation, the linearized theory with vector fields contains couplings between scalar, vector and tensor modes. The perturbations decouple only in the ultraviolet limit, which allows us to carry out the canonical quantization. Superhorizon perturbations can be approximately analyzed due to suppressed mixing between different modes in the small fields models. We find that the vector perturbations of the metric decay exponentially, but the scalar and tensor modes could remain weakly coupled throughout the evolution. As a result, the vector inflation can produce significant correlations of the scalar and tensor modes in the CMB. For the realistic models the effect is rather small, but not negligible.

I. INTRODUCTION

Theory of inflation relates the laws of physics at very small distances to observations at extremely large scales. An essential ingredient of the standard inflationary paradigm is a scalar field [1] which looks like a disturbing restriction, especially because scalar fields are known not to be abundant in nature. In an attempt to cure the problem we proposed a new model of inflation where the quasi de Sitter expansion is driven by vector fields [2]. It seems as the most natural step beyond the scalars, although the possibility of inflation with other fields were also analyzed in the literature. For example, the spinors [3], the dark spinors [4], or even the spin fluid in the Einstein-Cartan theory with torsion [5] can be employed to drive quasi de Sitter expansion. The most interesting generalization of the vector inflation [2] to higher forms was implemented in Ref. [6].

To construct isotropic solutions one can consider purely time-like vector fields [7, 8, 9, 10] which do not behave like genuine vectors but rather resemble some modified scalars. More realistically, an approximate isotropy can be achieved with a triad of mutually orthogonal vector fields [11] or with a large number of randomly oriented fields [2]. The well-known problem of the slow-roll [12] was resolved [2] by non-minimal coupling of vector fields to gravity. In general, the model can be described by the following action

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{R}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{6} I_{(n)} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{N} F^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_{(n)} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} V\left(I_{(n)}\right) \right] dx^4. \tag{1}$$

where $I_{(n)} \equiv -A^{(n)}_{\mu} A^{\mu}_{(n)}$ and $F^{(n)}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_\mu A^{(n)}_\nu - \nabla_\nu A^{(n)}_\mu$. In a spatially flat Friedmann universe the evolution of the homogeneous background fields in conformal coordinates is described by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= 0 \\ B_i'' + 2\mathcal{H} B_i' + 2V_{,I} a^2 B_i &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $I = B_i B_i \equiv B^2$,$B_i \equiv \frac{A_i}{a}$, and $\mathcal{H} \equiv \frac{a'}{a}$, and the Einstein equations can be written as

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 = 8\pi N \left(V a^2 + \frac{B'^2}{2} \right), \tag{3}$$

$$2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2 = 8\pi N \left(V a^2 - \frac{B'^2}{2} \right). \tag{4}$$

From the point of view of the background evolution, the proposed model is very similar to the scalar field inflation. However, in contrast to the standard inflation, the expansion in vector inflation must no longer be isotropic, which could lead to very distinct observational predictions. The current observational bounds on isotropy of inflation are very weak and one can easily allow $\sim 10\%$ of anisotropy. For example, the vector inflation with $N \sim 100$ randomly oriented vector fields can give rise to anisotropy of the order of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sim 10\%$ at the end of inflation. The anisotropy is washed out shortly after the end of inflation when the energy-momentum tensor becomes isotropic, but the signatures of anisotropic inflationary stage might still be observable.

A somewhat closer look at the proposed scenario had shown that models of large fields vector inflation (e.g. $V = -\frac{m^2 A_\mu A^\mu}{2}$) are generically unstable due to tachyonic behavior of gravitational waves [13]. Nevertheless, the models of the small fields inflation (i.e. Coleman-Weinberg potential) are stable under tensor perturbations of the metric. The main objective of the current paper is to investigate the behavior of a general type of linear perturbations in vector inflation.[1] However, the proposed analysis can be applied to the model of inflation with vector impurity [16] and to the models with vector curvaton [17].

The article is organized as follows. In the next section we derive the linearized equations of motion. In the third section we concentrate on the ultraviolet limit and quantize the perturbations of vector fields. The evolution of the long wavelength modes is analyzed in the forth section for the small coupling limit. In the final section we summarize the main results and discuss observational consequences of the correlations between scalar and tensor modes.

II. LINEARIZED EQUATIONS

To study the evolution of cosmological perturbations we consider small variations of the metric around a spatially flat Friedmann background. In conformal coordinates the line element is given by

$$ds^2 = a\left(\eta\right)^2 \left(\left(1+2\phi\right) d\eta^2 + 2\mathcal{V}_i d\eta dx^i - \left(\left(1-2\psi\right)\delta_{ik} - h_{ik}\right) dx_i dx_k\right)$$

where $\mathcal{V}^i_{,i} = 0$, $h^i_i = 0$, $h^i_{j,i} = 0$. General perturbations of the vector fields are described by

$$\delta A_\alpha = \left(\delta A_0, \chi_{,i} + \delta A^T_i\right) \tag{5}$$

where $\delta A^{Ti}_{,i} = 0$. Overall there are $2+2N$ scalar (ϕ, ψ, δA_0 and χ), $2+2N$ vector (V_i and δA^T_i) and 2 tensor (h_{ij}) variables.

The perturbed Ricci scalar and Einstein tensor are given by

$$a^2 \delta R = 6\psi'' - 4 \triangle \psi + 2 \triangle \phi + 6\mathcal{H}\left(\phi' + 3\psi'\right) + 24\phi\mathcal{H}^2, \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a^2\delta G^0_0 &= 2 \triangle \psi - 6\mathcal{H}\psi' - 6\mathcal{H}^2\phi \\
a^2\delta G^0_k &= 2\left(\psi' + \mathcal{H}\phi\right)_{,k} + \frac{1}{2}\triangle \mathcal{V}_k \\
a^2\delta G^i_k &= \left(-2\psi'' + \triangle\left(\psi - \phi\right) - 2\mathcal{H}\left(\phi' + 2\psi'\right) - 6\phi\mathcal{H}^2\right)\delta^i_k + \left(\phi - \psi\right)_{,ik} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{\{i,k\}} - \mathcal{H}\mathcal{V}_{\{i,k\}} + \frac{1}{2}h''_{ik} + \mathcal{H}h'_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}\triangle h_{ik}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Variation of the energy-momentum tensor is a straight-forward but rather bulky exercise. For an isotropic background we have $\sum_{n=1}^N A_i^{(n)} A_k^{(n)} \propto \delta_{ik}$ and the equations could be somewhat simplified since $\langle A_i A_k \rangle = \left\langle A_i^2 \right\rangle \delta^i_k = \frac{1}{3}\langle B^2 \rangle \delta^i_k$. This assumption also implies $\sum_{n=1}^N h_{ik} A_i^{(n)} A_k^{(n)} = 0$, since h_{ik} is traceless. Linear terms in the Einstein equations read as (see Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a^4}{N}\delta T^0_0 \;=\; \Big\langle & 2\left(\frac{1}{2}{A'}^2_i + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2 A_i^2 - \mathcal{H}A_i {A'}_i\right)\left(\psi - \phi\right) - \mathcal{H}\psi' A_i^2 + \psi' A_i {A'}_i + 2a^2 V_{,I}\psi A_i^2 \\
& - {A'}_i \delta A_{0,i} + \mathcal{H}^2 A_i \delta A_i + 2a^2 V_{,I}\left(A_i\delta A_i\right) - \mathcal{H}\left(A_i \delta A_i\right)' + A'_i \delta A'_i + \frac{1}{3}A_i \triangle \delta A_i \Big\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a^4}{N}\delta T^0_k \;=\; \Big\langle & A'_i \delta A^T_{[i,k]} - \left(\frac{a''}{a} - 2a^2 V_{,I}\right) A_k \left(\delta A_0 + A_i \mathcal{V}_i\right) - \frac{1}{12}A_i^2 \triangle \mathcal{V}_k \\
& + \left(-\mathcal{H}A_i^2\psi + \frac{1}{3}A_i {A'}_i\left(2\psi - \phi\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left(A_i\delta A_i\right)' - \mathcal{H}A_i\delta A_i\right)_{,k} \Big\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

---

[1] Some problems related to the linear perturbations in vector inflation have been already studied in Refs. [18, 19]. See also [14, 15] for linear perturbation analyses in other non-scalar inflationary models.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a^4}{N}\delta T^i_k \;=\; & \Big\langle\Big[2\left(-\frac{5}{6}{A'}^2_j-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2A_j^2-\frac{1}{3}A_j{A''}_j+\mathcal{H}A_j{A'}_j\right)(\psi-\phi)+\frac{1}{6}A_j^2\triangle(\phi+\psi)+\frac{1}{3}A_j{A'}_j(\phi'-2\psi') \\ & +\mathcal{H}A_j^2\psi'+2\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)A_i^2\psi+2{A'}^2_i(\psi-\phi)+{A'}_j\delta A_{0,j}+\left(\mathcal{H}A-A'_j\right)\delta A'_j-\frac{1}{3}\left(A_j\delta A_j\right)'' \\ & +\mathcal{H}\left({A'}_j-\mathcal{H}A_j\right)\delta A_j+\frac{1}{3}A_j\triangle\delta A_j+A_i^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\triangle(2\psi-\phi)-\mathcal{H}(3\psi'+\phi')-2\frac{a''}{a}\phi-\psi''\right) \\ & +2a^2V_{,I}\left(\psi A_l^2+A_l\delta A_l\right)\Big]\delta^i_k-\frac{1}{6}A_j^2(\psi+\phi)_{,ik}-4A_iA_kV_{,II}\left(\psi A_l^2+A_l\left(\delta A_l+\frac{1}{2}h_{lj}A_j\right)\right) \\ & +\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)\left(A_{\{i}\delta A_{k\}}+h_{ij}A_kA_j\right)-\frac{1}{3}A_j\delta A_{j\,,ik}+{A'}_{\{i}\delta A'_{k\}}-A'_{\{i}\delta A_{0,k\}} \\ & +\frac{1}{6}A_j{A'}_j\left(\mathcal{V}_{\{i,k\}}-{h'}_{ik}\right)+\frac{1}{12}A_j^2\left(\mathcal{V}_{\{i,k\}}-{h'}_{ik}\right)'+\frac{1}{12}A_j^2\triangle h_{ik}+{A'}_k{A'}_jh_{ij}\Big\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the summation over j is implied. V, $V_{,I}$ and $V_{,II}$ are evaluated at the background values of $I=B^2$ and the terms with $V_{,II}$ can be further simplified by careful averaging.

The perturbed equations of motion for each of the vector fields are

$$(\phi+\psi)_{,i}{A'}_i+\triangle\left(\delta A_0-\chi'\right)+\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)\left(\delta A_0+\mathcal{V}_iA_i\right)=0 \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-2\phi{A''}_i-(\phi'+\psi'){A'}_i-\delta {A_0}'_{,i}-\triangle\delta A_i^T-{A'}_k\mathcal{V}_{i,k}+{h'}_{ik}{A'}_k+\delta A''_i & \\ +\left(2a^2V_{,I}-\frac{a''}{a}\right)\delta A_i+2\frac{d^2V}{dI^2}A_i\left(2\psi A_l^2+2A_l\delta A_l+A_lA_jh_{lj}\right) & \\ +\left(\psi''+\frac{1}{3}\triangle(\phi-2\psi)+\mathcal{H}(\phi'+3\psi')+2\phi\frac{a''}{a}\right)A_i \;=\; & \;0.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Equations (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12) form a very complicated, but closed system. In what follows we analyze the perturbations in the ultraviolet (Section III) and infrared (Section IV) limits. In the Appendix B, for the purpose of completeness, we consider purely adiabatic perturbations which are not consistent with equations of motion.

III. QUANTUM PERTURBATIONS

In the short wavelength limit the terms containing less than two derivatives vanish and Eqs. (11) and (12) imply

$$\delta A_0=\chi' \tag{13}$$

$$\chi''_{,i}-\delta A'_{0,i}+\delta A_i^{T\,''}-\triangle\delta A_i^T+\left(\psi''+\frac{1}{3}\triangle(\phi-2\psi)\right)A_i=0,$$

where the later equation can be decomposed into vector and scalar parts:

$$\delta A_i^{T\,''}-\triangle\delta A_i^T=0$$

$$\psi''+\frac{1}{3}\triangle(\phi-2\psi)=0. \tag{14}$$

The 00 component of the Einstein equation

$$\frac{2}{a^2}\triangle\psi\approx\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{3a^4}A_i^{(n)}\triangle\delta A_i^{(n)}$$

yields

$$\psi = \frac{1}{6a^2}\sum_n A_i^{(n)}\delta A_i^{(n)} = \frac{1}{6}\sum_n B_i^{(n)}\delta B_i^{(n)} \tag{15}$$

and the ik component gives the usual wave equation for gravitational waves together with an unusual condition

$$\phi = -\psi \tag{16}$$

which reflects the conformal nature of the theory in the large k limit.

The $0i$ component of the Einstein equation simply implies that the vector perturbations of the metric are absent in the small wavelength limit. It follows from Eqs (14), (16), (13) and (B1) that χ, ψ and ϕ must satisfy the wave equations

$$\Box\chi = \Box\psi = \Box\phi = 0.$$

In summary, our model in the ultraviolet limit contains N nearly massless vector fields evolving according to wave equations, the free gravitational waves propagating in a locally Minkowski space, and scalar perturbations of the metric sourced by quantum fluctuations of the vector fields described by Eqs (15) and (16). To quantize the theory in this limit, we have to quantize $3N$ independent harmonic oscillators with an ultra-relativistic dispersion relation $\omega_k \approx k$. Due to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle the amplitude of quantum fluctuation $\delta B_k \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$. From Eq. (15) the amplitude of ψ for randomly oriented fluctuations is of order $\sqrt{\frac{N}{k}}B$.

IV. SUPERHORIZON PERTURBATIONS

The evolution of perturbations on the superhorizon scales is a bit more involved. To simplify the analysis and ensure stability of gravitational waves [13] we assume the small field values $B \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, and a large number of the fields $N \gg 1$. In this limit the usual slow-roll conditions imply (see Ref. [13])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V_{,I}}{V}B^2 &\ll 1 \\ \frac{V_{,II}}{V}B^4 &\ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

and the terms with $V_{,II}$ are highly suppressed.

The equations for superhorizon modes ($k \ll 1$) can be obtained from Eqs. (11) and (12) by neglecting the terms involving spacial derivatives. The corresponding equations are

$$\left(\phi+\psi\right)_{,i} A_i' + 2\mathcal{H}^2\left(\delta A_0 + \mathcal{V}_i A_i\right) = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\psi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi' - 4\phi a^2 V_{,I}\right) A_j = \\ &-\delta A_j'' - \left(2a^2 V_{,I} - \tfrac{a''}{a}\right)\delta A_j + \delta A_{0,j}' + \triangle\delta A_j^T + \left(\mathcal{V}_{j,k} - h_{jk}'\right) A_k' \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the background equations (2), (3) and (4) have been used. [2]

---

[2] Note, that in contrast to the scalar field inflation the perturbations of different types (scalar, vector and tensor) do not decouple. The reason is very clear: even in the first order in perturbations one can couple vector and tensor quantities to the background fields [11].

A. Decaying modes

The temporal component of the Einstein equations to the leading order is

$$\phi = \frac{V_{,I}}{V}\left(\psi B^2 + \frac{1}{3a^2}\left\langle A_j\left(\delta A_j - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\delta A_j'\right)\right\rangle\right) - \frac{\psi'}{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{19}$$

where the relation $A_i' - \mathcal{H}A_i = aB_i' = -\frac{2}{3}a^2 V_{,I}A_i$ was used. The Eqs. (17) and (18) can be further simplified

$$\delta A_0 \;=\; -\mathcal{V}_i A_i, \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\psi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi' + 4a^2 V_{,I}\frac{V_{,I}}{V}\left(B^2\psi + \frac{1}{3a^2}\left\langle A_i\left(\delta A_i - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\delta A_i'\right)\right\rangle\right)\right) A_j & \\ +\delta A''{}_j + \left(2a^2 V_{,I} - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\delta A_j + h'{}_{jk}A'{}_k \;&=\; 0\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and the divergence of Eq. (21) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\psi_{,j}'' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi_{,j}' + 4a^2 V_{,I}\frac{V_{,I}}{V}\left(B^2\psi_{,j} + \frac{1}{3a^2}\left\langle A_i\left(\delta A_i - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\delta A_i'\right)_{,j}\right\rangle\right)\right) A_j & \\ + \triangle\,\chi'' + \left(2a^2 V_{,I} - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\triangle\,\chi \;&=\; 0.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From the consistency condition ($\nabla_\mu \delta A^\mu = 0$):

$$\triangle\,\chi \;=\; \delta A_0' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta A_0 = 0 \tag{23}$$

and

$$\psi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi' + 4a^2 V_{,I}\frac{V_{,I}}{V}\left(B^2\psi + \frac{1}{3a^2}\left\langle A_i\left(\delta A_i - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\delta A_i'\right)\right\rangle\right) \;=\; 0. \tag{24}$$

From Eqs. (20) and (23) we conclude that in the large wavelength limit the temporal δA_0 and longitudinal χ components of vector fields as well as vector perturbations of the metric $\mathcal{V}_i$ are exponentially suppressed.

B. Tensor-vector mixing

In the remainder of the section we consider the evolution of only transverse components of the vector fields $\delta A = \delta A_i^T$ weakly coupled to the scalar and tensor perturbations of the metric, such that the coupling can be considered perturbativly.

The vectorial part of Eq. (21)

$$\delta A_j^{T\prime\prime} + \left(2a^2 V_{,I} - \frac{a'}{a}\right)\delta A_j^T + h_{jk}' A_k' = 0 \tag{25}$$

and the spatial part of the Einstein equations at the leading order

$$\frac{a^2}{2N}\left(h_{ik}'' + 2\mathcal{H}h_{ik}'\right) \;=\; \left\langle -\frac{1}{3}\left(\psi'' B^2 + 2\mathcal{H}B^2\psi' + (A_j\delta A_j)''\right)\delta_k^i + 2A'{}_i\left(2\mathcal{H}\delta A_k + \delta A'{}_k\right)\right\rangle, \tag{26}$$

can be reduced to

$$a^2 h'_{ik} \;=\; 2N \left\langle \left(A_i \delta A_k - \frac{1}{3} A_j \delta A_j \delta^i_k \right)' \right\rangle , \tag{27}$$

where the constant of integration is suppressed by the scale factor and can be ignored.

It is convenient to rewrite the two relevant equations (25) and (27) in terms of B fields and in physical time coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle B_i \delta B_k - \frac{1}{3} B_j \delta B_j \delta^i_k \right\rangle + 2H \left\langle B_i \delta B_k - \frac{1}{3} B_j \delta B_j \delta^i_k \right\rangle \;&=\; \frac{1}{2N} \dot{h_{ik}} && (28) \\ \delta \ddot{B}^T_i + 3H \delta \dot{B}^T_i + 2V_{,I} \delta B^T_i \;&=\; -\dot{h_{ik}} \left(\dot{B}_k + H B_k \right) . && (29) \end{aligned}$$

In the limit of small mixing between vector fields and gravitational waves the solution of Eq. (28) reads as

$$h_{ik} = 2N \left\langle B_i \delta B_k - \frac{1}{3} B_j \delta B_j \delta^i_k \right\rangle + 2NH \int_0^t \left\langle B_i \delta B_k - \frac{1}{3} B_j \delta B_j \delta^i_k \right\rangle dt + C_{ik}. \tag{30}$$

If the constant of integration C_{ik} (which is determined by the initial conditions) dominates, the evolution of gravitation waves resembles the usual freeze-out of the super horizon modes. According to the Eq. (29) in the small coupling limit, the transverse modes δB^T change very slowly, but the behavior might change in the long run when the second term in Eq. (30), which scales as $\sqrt{t}$, begins to dominate. Thus, the spectrum of gravitational waves on the very large scales depends crucially on the initial conditions at the horizon crossing. Evaluation of the horizon size modes is a challenging task which is beyond the scope of current discussion.

C. Scalar-vector mixing

In analogy to the previous subsection we rewrite Eqs. (14) and (24) in terms of B fields in physical time coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} + 4V_{,I} \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{V} B^2 \right) \left(\psi - \frac{\left\langle B_j \delta \dot{B}_j \right\rangle}{3B^2} \right) \;&=\; 0 && (31) \\ \ddot{\psi} + \left(3H + 4\frac{V_{,I}}{H} \right) \dot{\psi} + 4V_{,I} \phi \;&=\; 0. && (32) \end{aligned}$$

In the slow-roll regime the scalar perturbation ψ varies slowly as a function of physical time. By neglecting the time-dependence of the coefficients we can approximate the solution of Eq. (31) as

$$\psi = \psi_0 \, e^{-\frac{4V_{,I}}{3H} \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{V} B^2 \right) t} + \frac{2V_{,I}}{9HB^2} \left\langle B_j \delta B_j \right\rangle , \tag{33}$$

where ψ_0 is the initial amplitude of scalar perturbations at the horizon crossing and the second term is obtained from Eq. (29) in the limit of weak coupling of gravitational waves. The solution of Eq. (32) is

$$\phi \approx \left(1 + \frac{4V_{,I}}{3H^2} \right) \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{V} B^2 \right) C \, e^{-\frac{4V_{,I}}{3H} \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{V} B^2 \right) t}$$

which means that at very large times the scalar perturbation $\psi \approx \frac{1}{3} B^{-2} \left\langle B_j \delta \dot{B}_j \right\rangle$and $\phi \approx 0$ is exponentially suppressed.

One should note that the decaying exponent is very small and for realistic scenarios the first term in Eq. (33) gives the dominant contribution. For example, the initial spectrum of perturbations in vector inflation with Coleman-Weinberg potential is such that $\psi_0 \approx \frac{N}{6} \left\langle B_i \delta B_i \right\rangle \gg \frac{2V_{,I}}{9HB^2} \left\langle B_j \delta B_j \right\rangle$ and the solution of Eq. (32) is greatly simplified:

$$\phi \approx \frac{V_{,I}}{V} B^2 \psi.$$

The slow roll parameter $\frac{V_{,I}}{V} B^2$ eventually becomes of order one and the standard evolution of the decoupled scalar perturbations proceeds with $\psi \approx \phi$, where all of the results of the standard cosmology apply.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of the cosmological perturbation in models of inflation driven by vector fields proved to be a challenging task. One issue was recently raised in [20] where the stability of the longitudinal component was questioned. The problem requires a much more detailed examination before definite conclusions could be drawn. In the Appendix C we only give some simple arguments which invalidate the conclusions of Refs. [20, 21], and a lot more technical analysis will be given elsewhere [22].

Another issue, which is the main subject of the current paper, is related to non-trivial coupling between scalar, vector and tensor modes [11]. It was shown that the coupling is generically suppressed for the small fields models with isotropic backgrounds which were previously proved to be stable to tensor perturbations [13]. Nevertheless, the mixing of the different modes could still lead to detectable correlations of the scalar and tensor modes in the CMB. The evolution of the superhorizon scalar modes is weakly influenced by the trace of $\langle B_i \delta B_k \rangle$, whose traceless part sources the tensor perturbations of the metric. At the same time, the temporal and longitudinal components of vector fields as well as vector perturbations of the metric remain suppressed throughout the evolution.

We conclude that the models of vector inflation are viable cosmological scenarios which are compatible with all of the experimental tests performed so far. On the other hand, the inflation driven by vector fields could give rise to very distinct observational signatures, such as anisotropic expansion, to be tested by future experiments. (Although our current analysis was restricted to isotropic backgrounds.) In addition, a possible detection of the correlations between scalar and tensor modes of the CMB could indicate the vectorial nature of the inflationary stage.

Generally, new inflationary models are expected to give a too small tensor-to-scalar ratio. The problem can be solved with a nontrivial kinetic term [23], but in the case of vector inflation we have an alternative solution because the tensor perturbation can hopefully be enlarged due to the coupling of modes. Of course, some more detailed analysis is needed for definite conclusions to be drawn concerning the observational possibilities. However, one can also hope to facilitate the tensor mode detection in CMB due to potential correlation with the easily observed scalar perturbations.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Viatcheslav Mukhanov, Misao Sasaki and Alexander Vikman for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by TRR 33 "The Dark Universe" and the Cluster of Excellence EXC 153 "Origin and Structure of the Universe".

[1] A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 129, 177 (1983).
[2] A. Golovnev, V. Mukhanov, V. Vanchurin, JCAP06(2008)009; arXiv:0802.2068.
[3] T. Watanabe, arXiv:0902.1392.
[4] C.G. Boehmer, Phys. Rev. D 77, 123535 (2008); arXiv:0804.0616.
[5] G. de Berredo-Peixoto, E.A. de Freitas, arXiv:0902.4025.
[6] C. Germani, A. Kehagias, arXiv:0902.3667.
[7] C.G. Boehmer, T.Harko, Eur. Phys. J. C 50, 423 (2007); gr-qc/0701029.
[8] T. Koivisto, D.F. Mota, arXiv:0805.4229.
[9] S. Koh, B. Hu, arXiv:0901.0429.
[10] S. Koh, arXiv:0902.3904.
[11] C. Armendariz-Picon, JCAP07(2004)007.
[12] L.H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D 40, 967 (1989).
[13] A. Golovnev, V. Mukhanov, V. Vanchurin, JCAP11(2008)018; arXiv:0810.4304.
[14] D. Gredat, S. Shankaranarayanan, arXiv:0807.3336.
[15] T. Kobayashi, S. Yokoyama, arXiv:0903.2769.
[16] S. Kanno, M. Kimura, J. Soda, S. Yokoyama, JCAP08(2008)034; arXiv:0806.2422.
[17] K. Dimopoulos, M. Karciauskas, JHEP07(2008)119; arXiv:0803.3041.
[18] T. Chiba, JCAP08(2008)004; arXiv:0805.4660.
[19] K. Dimopoulos, D.H. Lyth, Y. Rodriguez, arXiv:0809.1055.
[20] B. Himmetoglu, C. Contaldi, M. Peloso, arXiv:0809.2779.
[21] B. Himmetoglu, C. Contaldi, M. Peloso, arXiv:0812.1231.
[22] A. Golovnev, V. Vanchurin. In progress.
[23] V. Mukhanov, A. Vikman, JCAP02(2006)004; astro-ph/0512066.

Appendix A: ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR

The energy momentum tensor for vector inflation was first derived in Ref. [2]

$$T^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} - F^{\alpha\gamma}F_{\beta\gamma} + \left(2\frac{dV}{dI} + \frac{R}{6}\right)A^{\alpha}A_{\beta} + V\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{6}\left(R^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}R\right)A^{\gamma}A_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{6}\left(\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\Box - \bigtriangledown^{\alpha}\bigtriangledown_{\beta}\right)A^{\gamma}A_{\gamma}. \qquad \text{(A1)}$$

Most of the terms on the right hand side remain important throughout the evolution and thus, it is instructive to obtain exact first order expressions for each of these terms separately [3]

$$\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}a^{-4}\left(A'^{2}_{i}\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right) + 2A'_{i}\delta A'_{i} - 2A'_{i}\delta A_{0,i} + h_{ij}A'_{i}A'_{j}\right)\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$$

$$-F^{0\gamma}F_{0\gamma} = a^{-4}\left(A'^{2}_{i}\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right) + 2A'_{i}\delta A'_{i} - 2A'_{i}\delta A_{0,i} + h_{ij}A'_{i}A'_{j}\right)$$

$$-F^{0\gamma}F_{k\gamma} = a^{-4}A'_{i}\delta A^{T}_{[i,k]}$$

$$-F^{i\gamma}F_{k\gamma} = a^{-4}\left(A'_{i}A'_{k} + 2A'_{i}A'_{k}\left(\psi-\phi\right) + A'_{i}\delta A'_{k} - A'_{i}\delta A_{0,k} + A'_{k}\delta A'_{i} - A'_{k}\delta A_{0,i} + A'_{k}A'_{j}h_{ij}\right)$$

$$V\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \left(V + \frac{1}{a^{2}}V_{,I}\left(2\psi A^{2}_{i} + A_{i}\left(2\delta A_{i} + h_{ij}A_{j}\right)\right)\right)\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$$

$$\frac{1}{6}G^{0}_{0}A^{\gamma}A_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{6}a^{-4}\left(\mathcal{H}^{2}\left(-3A^{2}_{i}\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right) - 3A_{i}\left(h_{ij}A_{j} + 2\delta A_{i}\right)\right) + A^{2}_{i}\left(6\mathcal{H}\psi' - 2\bigtriangleup\psi\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{6}G^{0}_{k}A^{\gamma}A_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{6}a^{-4}A^{2}_{i}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\bigtriangleup\mathcal{V}_{k} - 2\psi'_{,k} - 2\mathcal{H}\phi_{,k}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{6}G^{i}_{k}A^{\gamma}A_{\gamma} \;=\; & \frac{1}{6}a^{-4}A^{2}_{j}\left(\left[\left(\mathcal{H}^{2} - 2\frac{a''}{a}\right)\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right) + 2\mathcal{H}\left(2\psi'+\phi'\right) + \bigtriangleup\left(\phi-\psi\right) + 2\psi''\right.\right. \\ & \left. + \left(\mathcal{H}^{2} - 2\frac{a''}{a}\right)\left(2\delta A_{j} + A_{l}h_{jl}\right)\right]\delta^{i}_{k} \\ & \left. + \left(\psi-\phi\right)_{,ik} + \mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\{i,k\}} - h'_{ik}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{\{i,k\}} - \frac{1}{2}h''_{ik} + \frac{1}{2}\bigtriangleup h_{ik}\right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(2V_{,I} + \frac{R}{6}\right)A^{0}A_{0} = 0$$

---

[3] In the linearized theory the Einstein tensor is such that $(G^{k}_{i} = G^{i}_{k})$, since $G^{k}_{i} = g^{k\mu}G_{\mu i}$ and $G^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ is diagonal. On the other hand, $T^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}$ is not generally symmetric and $(T^{k(n)}_{i} \neq T^{i(n)}_{k})$ due to the non-symmetric terms (i.e. $h_{ij}A^{(n)}_{j}A^{(n)}_{k}$), but the overall sum $\sum_{n}T^{k(n)}_{i}$ over isotropic configurations of the fields has to be symmetric.

$$\left(2V_{,I}+\frac{R}{6}\right)A^0A_k=a^{-4}\left(2a^2V_{,I}-\frac{a''}{a}\right)A_k\left(\delta A_0+A_i\mathcal{V}_i\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(2V_{,I}+\frac{R}{6}\right)A^iA_k \;=\; & a^{-4}\Bigg(\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)\left(A_iA_k+A_{\{i}\delta A_{k\}}+h_{ij}A_kA_j\right)\\ & +A_iA_k\left(-4a^2\psi V_{,I}-2V_{,II}\left(2\psi A_l^2+A_l\left(2\delta A_l+h_{lj}A_j\right)\right)\right.\\ & \left.+\;\frac{1}{3}\triangle\left(2\psi-\phi\right)-\mathcal{H}\left(3\psi'+\phi'\right)+2\frac{a''}{a}\left(\psi-\phi\right)-\psi''\right)\Bigg)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{6}\;\left(\Box\delta^0_0-\triangledown^0\triangledown_0\right)A^\gamma A_\gamma = \;& a^{-4}\Bigg(\mathcal{H}A_i\left(\mathcal{H}A_i-A'_i\right)\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right)-2\mathcal{H}\psi'A_i^2+\psi'A_iA'_i+\frac{1}{3}A_i^2\triangle\psi\\ & +2\mathcal{H}^2A_i\delta A_i-\mathcal{H}\left(A_i\delta A_i\right)'+\frac{1}{3}A_i\triangle\delta A_i\\ & +\mathcal{H}^2h_{ij}A_iA_j-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\left(h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)'+\frac{1}{6}A_iA_j\triangle h_{ij}\Bigg)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{6}\,\triangledown^0\,\triangledown_kA^\gamma A_\gamma \;=\; & \frac{1}{6}a^{-4}\left(2\mathcal{H}A_i^2\left(\phi-3\psi\right)+2A_i^2\psi'+2A_iA'_i\left(2\psi-\phi\right)+2\left(A_i\delta A_i\right)'-6\mathcal{H}A_i\delta A_i\right.\\ & \left.+\left(h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)'-3\mathcal{H}h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)_{,k}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{6}\left(\Box\delta^i_k-\triangledown^i\triangledown_k\right)A^\gamma A_\gamma \;=\; & \frac{1}{6}a^{-4}\Bigg(\Bigg[2\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2\mathcal{H}^2\right)A_j^2-2A'^2_j-2A_jA''_j+6\mathcal{H}A_jA'_j\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right)\\ & +2\mathcal{H}A_j^2\left(\psi'-\phi'\right)+2A_jA'_j\left(\phi'-2\psi'\right)-2A_j^2\psi''+2A_j^2\triangle\psi\\ & -2\left(A_j\delta A_j\right)''+6\mathcal{H}\left(A_j\delta A_j\right)'+4\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2\mathcal{H}^2\right)A_j\delta A_j+2A_j\triangle\delta A_j\\ & -\left(h_{jl}A_jA_l\right)''+3\mathcal{H}\left(h_{jl}A_jA_l\right)'+2\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2\mathcal{H}^2\right)h_{jl}A_jA_l+A_jA_l\triangle h_{jl}\Bigg]\delta^i_k\\ & -\left(2A_j^2\psi+2A_j\delta A_j+h_{jl}A_jA_l\right)_{,ik}+\left(A_jA'_j-\mathcal{H}A_j^2\right)\left(\mathcal{V}_{\{i,k\}}-h'_{ik}\right)\Bigg)\end{aligned}$$

By combining all of these terms together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}a^4T^0_0 \;=\; & \left(\frac{1}{2}A'^2_i+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2A_i^2-\mathcal{H}A_iA'_i\right)\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right)-\mathcal{H}\psi'A_i^2+\psi'A_iA'_i-A'_i\delta A_{0,i}\\ & +\mathcal{H}^2A_i\delta A_i+a^4V+2a^2V_{,I}\left(\psi A_i^2+A_i\delta A_i+\frac{1}{2}h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)-\mathcal{H}\left(A_i\delta A_i\right)'\\ & +A'_i\delta A_i{}'+\frac{1}{3}A_i\triangle\delta A_i+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2h_{ij}A_iA_j-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\left(h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)'+\frac{1}{2}h_{ij}A'_iA'_j+\frac{1}{6}A_iA_j\triangle h_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a^4T^0_k \;=\; & A'_i\delta A^T_{[i,k]}-\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)A_k\left(\delta A_0+A_i\mathcal{V}_i\right)-\frac{1}{12}A_i^2\triangle\mathcal{V}_k\\ & +\left(-\mathcal{H}A_i^2\psi+\frac{1}{3}A_iA'_i\left(2\psi-\phi\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(A_i\delta A_i\right)'-\mathcal{H}A_i\delta A_i+\frac{1}{6}\left(h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)'-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}h_{ij}A_iA_j\right)_{,k}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a^4T^i_k \; = \; & \Big[\left(-\frac{5}{6}{A'}^2_j-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2A^2_j-\frac{1}{3}A_jA''_j+\mathcal{H}A_jA'_j\right)\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right)\\
& +\frac{1}{6}A^2_j\,\triangle\left(\phi+\psi\right)+\mathcal{H}A^2_j\psi'+\frac{1}{3}A_jA'_j\left(\phi'-2\psi'\right)+A'_j\delta A_{0,j}\\
& +\left(\mathcal{H}A-A'_j\right)\delta A_j{}'-\frac{1}{3}\left(A_j\delta A_j\right)''+\mathcal{H}\left(A'_j-\mathcal{H}A_j\right)\delta A_j+\frac{1}{3}A_j\,\triangle\,\delta A_j\\
& -\frac{1}{6}\left(h_{jl}A_jA_l\right)''+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\left(h_{jl}A_jA_l\right)'-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2h_{jl}A_jA_l+\frac{1}{6}A_jA_l\,\triangle\,h_{jl}-\frac{1}{2}h_{jl}A'_jA'_l+a^2V_{,I}h_{jl}A_jA_l\\
& +\,a^4V+2a^2V_{,I}\left(\psi A^2_l+A_l\delta A_l\right)\Big]\,\delta^i_k-2A_iA_kV_{,II}\left(2\psi A^2_l+A_l\left(2\delta A_l+h_{lj}A_j\right)\right)\\
& +A_iA_k\left(\frac{1}{3}\,\triangle\left(2\psi-\phi\right)-\mathcal{H}\left(3\psi'+\phi'\right)-2\frac{a''}{a}\phi-\psi''\right)\\
& +\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)A_iA_k\left(1+2\psi\right)+A'_iA'_k\left(1+2\left(\psi-\phi\right)\right)\\
& -\frac{1}{6}A^2_j\left(\psi+\phi\right)_{,ik}+\left(\frac{a''}{a}-2a^2V_{,I}\right)\left(A_{\{i}\delta A_{k\}}+h_{ij}A_kA_j\right)\\
& +A'_i\delta A'_k+A'_k\delta A'_i-\left(A'_i\delta A_{0,k}+A'_k\delta A_{0,i}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{3}A_j\delta A_j+\frac{1}{6}h_{jl}A_jA_l\right)_{,ik}\\
& +\frac{1}{6}A_jA'_j\left(\mathcal{V}_{\{i,k\}}-h'_{ik}\right)+\frac{1}{12}A^2_j\left(\mathcal{V}'_{\{i,k\}}-h''_{ik}\right)+\frac{1}{12}A^2_j\,\triangle\,h_{ik}+A'_kA'_jh_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B: ADIABATIC PERTURBATIONS

Consider the scalar metric perturbations accompanied by adiabatic modes $\delta A_i = fA_i$. It follows from equations of motion that

$$\bigtriangledown_\nu\left(2V_{,I}+\frac{R}{6}\right)A^\nu=0 \tag{B1}$$

which is simplified in the slow roll limit to

$$\delta A'_0+2\mathcal{H}\delta A_0\approx\delta A_{j,j}=f_{,j}A_j.$$

This means that we should also allow for $\delta A_0 \neq 0$ perturbations for the consistency relation of Eq. (B1) to hold.

>From Eq. (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2\phi A''_i+\left(f'-\phi'-\psi'\right)A'_i-\triangle fA_i+f_{,ij}A_j-\delta A_0{}'_{,i}\\
&+4V_{,II}A^2_j\left(\psi+f\right)A_i+\left(\psi''+\frac{1}{3}\,\triangle\left(\phi-2\psi\right)+\mathcal{H}\left(\phi'+3\psi'\right)+2\phi\frac{a''}{a}\right)A_i \;=\; 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

The only terms which are not collinear to A_i are represented by $f_{,ij}A_j$ (it originates from $\triangle\delta A^T_i = \left(\delta A^T_{[i,j]}\right)_{,j} = \left(f_{,j}A_i - f_{,i}A_j\right)_{,j}$) and $\delta A'_{0,i}$. Since it is not possible for $f_{,ij}A^{(n)}_j - \delta A^{(n)\prime}_{0,i} \approx 2\mathcal{H}\delta A^{(n)}_{0,i}$ to be collinear with $A^{(n)}_i$ for all N vector fields simultaneously without creating large anisotropies of the background, we conclude that adiabatic perturbations can't evolve independently throughout the evolution and must generate rotations of the background vectors (One can also check that tensor perturbations can't compensate for $f_{,ij}A_j$ term). [4] Nevertheless, as we will see, the adiabatic modes play a central role in the evolution of superhorizon (Section IV) and subhorizon (Section III) perturbations when the scalar, vector and tensor perturbations are only weakly coupled.

---

[4] Even with different variations of the length $f^{(n)} \equiv \frac{\delta A^{(n)}_i}{A^{(n)}_i}$ for different vectors the Eq. (B2) cannot be satisfied by local perturbations without generating rotations.

Appendix C: LONGITUDINAL MODE

Consistency of the full theory of vector inflation is a subject of the ongoing debate mainly due to the tachyonic effective mass of the vector fields. In Refs. [20, 21], the authors have noted that the evolution of the longitudinal component λ, defined as $A_\mu = \nabla_\mu \lambda + A^T_\mu$, is described by an action with a wrong sign of the kinetic term[5]. As we will argue, such separation of the longitudinal component is not generically appropriate in the full theory with gravity.

First, note that the scalar curvature R contains a surface term with a second time derivative. For the term $RA_\mu A^\mu$ in the action these second derivatives can be integrated out, but after the transformation $A_\mu \longrightarrow \bigtriangledown_\mu \lambda$ the higher order derivatives are introduced into equations of motion increasing the total number of degrees of freedom. As a result, the Ostrogradsky quantization would necessarily lead to ghosts even if the effective mass of the vector fields is positive.

Secondly, the separation of the longitudinal component is not so innocent simply because the "mode" $A_\mu = \bigtriangledown_\mu \lambda$ alone does not solve the equations of motion. The arguments of the Ref. [20, 21] refer to a massive vector field in Minkowski space-time, where the equations of motion resemble (at the classical level) the three independent wave equations for A_i's and one constraint for A_0 so that the field evolutions are completely under control.

Possible instabilities could still arise on the quantum level, which is a lot more delicate issue. Due to the broken gauge invariance we have to treat our theory only as an effective theory and one should expect the action to be modified at high energies. Moreover, when the Hubble scale tachyonic mass comes from the non-minimal coupling with gravity, treating the scalar curvature as a constant number is not really justified at the small scales.

Most importantly, the fields with lower indices A_i must have some kind of "instability" simply because they *should* grow due to a purely coordinate effect [2]. This is exactly the type of behavior which was observed in [21]. In fact, the exponential growth of A_i's determines an exponential decay of A_0 which is precisely the behavior we have seen in the Section IV. Note also that after changing the variables to B_i's the tachyonic mass disappears from the action, although bringing about some new complications like time-dependent coefficients and Lorentz symmetry breaking terms.

A detailed analysis of the stability issues will be provided in a forthcoming article [22].

---

[5] Throughout the paper a different definition of the longitudinal component χ was used (See Eq. (5)).